

To: All Plan Holders of Record

From: CT Consultants, Inc. a Verdantas Company  
For the Owner

**Re: *Addendum No. 1***  
***Deshler Road Sanitary Sewer Extension – Phase 1***  
***Village of North Baltimore***

Date: May 3, 2024

This Addendum forms a part of the contract documents and modifies the original bidding documents dated April 2024 and all previous addenda, if any. Acknowledge receipt of this addendum in the space provided in the bid forms. Failure to do so may subject the bidder to disqualification.

### **QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS**

Q1. Where is the location of the doghouse manhole that is described in the project summary?

A1. There is no dog house manhole as part of this project. Delete “dog house” manhole from summary.

Q2. Please confirm the size of the existing sanitary sewer along Deshler Road?

A2. The existing sanitary sewer is a 12-inch. The contractor shall maintain the existing sanitary sewer diameter with new stub connections to the existing sanitary sewer and flexible coupling diameters. The same size diameter sewer shall be maintained from the existing sewer through the proposed manhole.

Q3. What flow is going through the existing sanitary sewer along Deshler Road?

A3. Flow through the existing sanitary sewer is minimal. The existing sewer runs upstream to the existing gas station and terminates prior to I-75. No additional flow is within the existing sewer.

Q4. At the end of the Bid Form, there is a line asking for a “Unique Identify ID Number”. What is this number?

A4. This is a new federal government number that must be provided with all bids that are for projects with federal funding. This project is funded by only local funds so this number is not required for this bid.

Q5. In the bid form, Item 2 suggest that there is an additional 135 ft of sanitary sewer to be constructed in addition to the 185 ft of sewer in Item 1. Please verify.

A5. Item 2 shall be used to pay for the cost of the installation of the 135 ft of casing pipe by means of bore and jack. This cost shall include the cost of the excavation for the entrance and exit

pits. Item 1 shall include the cost for the sanitary sewer in this crossing, both inside the casing pipe that is paid for under Item 2 and the additional 50 ft of pipe not within the casing pipe.

Q6. Can SDR 35 PVC be used for the carrier pipe within the casing pipe?

A6. Per a discussion with UNI-Bell, SDR 35 push-on joint pipe is not an acceptable pipe joint for pushing through the casing pipe. It was recommended to use a C-900 pipe as noted in the specifications.

EF/RS:rs/br

Enclosures

H:\2024\241311\SPEC\Addendum\Addendum 01\Addendum 01.Doc